The answers must be the original work of the author. While discussion with others is permitted and encouraged, the final work should be done individually. You are not allowed to work in groups. You are allowed to build on the material supplied in the class. Any other source must be specified clearly.

1. (10+15+15 points) Consider the following grammar G over $\Sigma = \{a, b, d, e, f\}$.

$$\begin{split} S &\to a a B S \mid a C b C C \\ B &\to b B \mid b \\ C &\to D E \\ D &\to d D e \mid \lambda \\ E &\to f E \mid \lambda \end{split}$$

Part a. Transform the grammar into G1 so that the recursion to the start symbol is removed.

Part b. Show the set of nullable variables in the new grammar G1.

Part c. Construct an essentially noncontracting grammar G_L (with a non-recursive start symbol) equivalent to G_1 .

2. (10+15+15 points) Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{split} S &\to aSb \mid DEF \mid f \\ D &\to EF \mid abEF \\ E &\to eEff \mid \lambda \\ F &\to ffFe \mid \lambda \end{split}$$

a. Transform the grammar into G1 so that the recursion to the start symbol is removed.

b. Show the set of nullable variables in G1.

c. Construct an essentially noncontracting grammar G2 (with a non-recursive start symbol) equivalent to G1.

3. (10+10 points) Consider the following grammar G. Note that the grammar does not contain λ -rules except at S.

$$\begin{split} S &\rightarrow aSb \mid DEF \mid D \mid \lambda \\ D &\rightarrow E \mid EF \mid abEF \\ E &\rightarrow eEff \mid a \mid F \\ F &\rightarrow ffFe \mid a \end{split}$$

a. Use algorithm 4.3.1 to construct the CHAIN sets for the variables in V.

b. Construct an equivalent grammar G_c that does not contain chain rules.